

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.mspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/707,430	11/06/2000	Paul J. Cornay	5770.04	1466
20686 7	7590 05/29/2003			
DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET			COOLEY, C.	HARLES E
SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO 80202-5647			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	71
- 			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/707,430 Applicant(s)

Art Unit 1723

Cornay

Examiner **Charles Cooley**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Feilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
	·				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	n is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 13-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 💢 Claim(s) 4-6 and 14	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) 13, 15, and 16	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)					
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 May 2001 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
T					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) 🔀 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430

Art Unit: 1723

OFFICE ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Note the attached PTO-1449 form submitted with the Information Disclosure

Statement filed 6 DEC 2002:

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 29 MAY 2001 have been approved by the Examiner.

Specification

- 3. The abstract is acceptable.
- 4. The title is acceptable.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430

Art Unit: 1723

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over patented claims 1, 6, 11, and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,944,648 to Cornay. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims 13, 15, and 16 are anticipated by the patented claims. See *In re Goodman*, supra.
- 7. Claims 15 and 16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 10 of copending Application No. 09/828,296. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 15 and 16 are anticipated by claims 1 and 10 of the copening application. See *In re Goodman*, supra.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 13, 15, and 16 would be allowable if the double patenting rejection is overcome by the timely filing of a terminal disclaimer.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430

Art Unit: 1723

- 9. Claims 4-6 and 14 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 10. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claims are deemed allowable over the prior art of record for the reasons advanced by Applicant in the response filed 5 MAR 2003, particularly since the prior art to Nerad and Strezynski do not disclose the recited removal means. The language added to claim 16 regarding the removal means is considered supported at page 21, lines 1-10 of the specification.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited prior art discloses a centrifuge having conveyor screws for transportation of the heavy phase.

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION. ANY RESPONSE FILED AFTER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL REJECTION WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF MPEP 714.12 AND 714.13.

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Charles Cooley whose telephone number is ## (703) 308-0112.
- 14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Dated: 27 May 2003

Charles Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723